Prevention of Infectious Diseases in the Immunocompromised Host Anne Friedland, MD

# Overview

- Solid organ transplantation
- Stem cell transplantation
- Neutropenia
- Burns









Fishman. NEJM 2007;357:2601

# **Donor-derived infections**

#### Table 1

Potential donor-derived infectious diseases transmissions reported to the OPTN, 2005–2009

| Disease          | Number of<br>Donor Reports | Number of Recipients<br>with Confirmed Transmission | Number of DDD-Attributable<br>Recipient Deaths |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Virus            | 86                         | 31  | 8  |
| Bacteria         | 38                         | 26  | 7  |
| Fungus           | 30                         | 26  | 8  |
| Mycobacteria     | 26                         | 10  | 2  |
| Parasite         | 21                         | 13  | 4  |
| Total infections | 201                        | 106   | 29   |

Chong et al. Inf Dis Clin N Am 2013;27:253

# **Unusual donor-derived infections**

Rabies

7

- 1 donor, 4 recipients: 100% mortality
- West Nile Virus
  - 2 donors, 8 recipients: 1 death, 2 coma
- Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus
  - 2 donors, 8 recipients: 88% mortality
  - LCMV could not be detected in either donor
  - 1 donor had pet hamster with LCMV
- Balamuthia mandrillaris
  - 2 donors, 8 recipients: 2 deaths, 1 neuro sequalae

Srinivasan et al. NEJM 2005;352:1103 Chong et al. Inf Dis Clin N Am 2013;27:253

# **Nosocomial infections**

- Device-related
  - Line-associated blood stream infection
  - Catheter or stent associated UTI
  - Ventilator associated pneumonia
- Surgery-related
  - Wound infection
  - Intra-abdominal abscess
- Outbreaks
- Multi-drug resistant organisms







# Community acquired infections

- Immunosuppression does not prevent common infections...
- Manifestations may be different
- Common pathogens include:
  - Respiratory viruses
  - Skin flora (S. aureus, streptococci)
  - Enteric flora (GNR, enterococci)

13







## Indications: malignancy

- Hematologic malignancies
  - Leukemias
  - Lymphoma
  - Multiple myeloma
  - Myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative syndromes
- Selected solid malignancies
  - Renal cell carcinoma
  - Ewing sarcoma
  - neuroblastoma



Tallman et al. Blood 2009;114:5126

17

# <section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>







| Infectious risk      |                         |                   |  |  |  |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
|                      | Higher risk             | Lower risk        |  |  |  |
| Transplant           | allogeneic              | autologous        |  |  |  |
| Type of donor        | Unrelated               | related           |  |  |  |
| HLA matching         | HLA mismatch            | HLA match         |  |  |  |
| Stem cell source     | Cord blood              | Peripheral blood  |  |  |  |
| Graft manipulation   | T cell depletion        | No manipulation   |  |  |  |
| Conditioning regimen | Full intensity          | Reduced intensity |  |  |  |
| immunosuppression    | T cell depleting agents | Minimal IS        |  |  |  |
| GVHD                 | Moderate-severe         | None or mild      |  |  |  |
|                      |                         |                   |  |  |  |

Wingard et al. Inf Dis Clin N Am 2010;24:257





# Bacterial infections after HSCT

| Type of<br>Infectious<br>Pathogen | Early<br>Preengraftment<br>(First 2–4 wk)  | Early<br>Postengraftment<br>(Second and Third<br>Month)   | Late<br>Postengraftment<br>(After Second<br>or Third Month)   |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Bacteria                          | Gram-negative<br>bacteria<br>(related to<br>mucosal injury<br>and<br>neutropenia)<br>Gram-positive<br>bacteria<br>(related to<br>venous<br>catheters)<br>Clostridium<br>difficile<br>(related to<br>neutropenia,<br>antibiotics,<br>antiacid<br>medications) | Gram-positive<br>bacteria (related<br>to venous<br>catheters)<br>Gram-negative<br>bacteria (related<br>to enteric<br>involvement of<br>GVHD, venous<br>catheters) | Encapsulated<br>bacteria<br>(related to<br>poor<br>opsonization<br>with chronic<br>GVHD)<br><i>Nocardia</i> (related<br>to chronic<br>GVHD) |



| Type of<br>Infectious<br>Pathogen | Early<br>Preengraftment<br>(First 2–4 wk)                    | Early<br>Postengraftment<br>(Second and Third<br>Month)  | Late<br>Postengraftment<br>(After Second<br>or Third Month)  | Time<br>Independent   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Fungi                             | Candida (related<br>to mucosal<br>injury and<br>neutropenia) | Aspergillus, other<br>molds and<br>Pneumocystis<br>jirovecii (related<br>to GVHD)  | Aspergillus, other<br>molds and P<br>jirovecii<br>(related to<br>GVHD)   |   |
| Herpesviruses                     | HSV  | CMV (related to<br>GVHD and<br>impaired cellular<br>immunity)<br>EBV (in patients who<br>have T-cell<br>depieted grafts,<br>receive ATG, or<br>whose donor is<br>mismatched) | CMV and VZV<br>(related to<br>GVHD and<br>impaired<br>cellular<br>immunity and<br>viral latency<br>before<br>transplant)<br>EBV (in patients<br>who have T-cell<br>depleted<br>grafts,<br>receive ATG, or<br>whose donor is<br>mismatched) |   |
| Other viruses                     |  | BK virus (related<br>to GVHD and<br>cyclophosphamide<br>in conditioning<br>regimen)  |  | Respiratory<br>viruses<br>(temporally<br>tracks with<br>community<br>outbreaks)<br>Adenoviruses |









| Clinic<br>Antin<br>with 0<br>Disea   | cal Practice Guideline for the Use of<br>nicrobial Agents in Neutropenic Patients<br>Cancer: 2010 Update by the Infectious<br>ses Society of America  |
|--|---|
| Alison G. Freit  | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup>   |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Ro<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme  | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>8</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence  |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Ro<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade  | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>8</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition  |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Ro<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation  | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition   |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Ro<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation<br>A<br>B  | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition<br>Good evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.   |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Rc<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation<br>A<br>B<br>C                                   | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>8</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition<br>Good evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Poor evidence to support a recommendation.  |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Rc<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation<br>A<br>B<br>C<br>Quality of Evidence            | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>8</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition<br>Good evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Poor evidence to support a recommendation.  |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Rc<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation<br>A<br>B<br>C<br>Quality of Evidence            | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>8</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition<br>Good evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Poor evidence to support a recommendation.<br>Evidence from ≥1 properly randomized, controlled trial.   |
| Alison G. Frei<br>Kenneth V. Rc<br>Table 2. Strength of Recomme<br>Category/Grade<br>Strength of Recommendation<br>A<br>B<br>C<br>Quality of Evidence<br>I<br>II | feld, <sup>1</sup> Eric J. Bow, <sup>9</sup> Kent A. Sepkowitz, <sup>2</sup> Michael J. Boeckh, <sup>4</sup> James I. Ito, <sup>5</sup> Craig A. Mullen, <sup>3</sup> Issam I. Raad, <sup>6</sup><br>Iston, <sup>6</sup> Jo-Anne H. Young, <sup>7</sup> and John R. Wingard <sup>®</sup><br>Indation and Quality of Evidence<br>Definition<br>Good evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Moderate evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Poor evidence to support a recommendation for <i>or against</i> use.<br>Poor evidence to support a recommendation.<br>Evidence from ≥1 properly randomized, controlled trial.<br>Evidence from ≥1 well-designed clinical trial, without randomization; from cohort or case-<br>controlled analytic studies (preferably from >1 centen); from multiple time-series; or fror<br>dramatic results from uncontrolled experiments. |

# **Guideline recommendations**

#### High risk

- Prolonged (anticipated >7 days) and profound neutropenia (≤100 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>)
- "comorbid medical problems"
  - Hypotension
  - Pneumonia
  - New abdominal pain or new GI symptoms
  - Neurologic changes
  - Line infection
  - Severe mucositis
- Hepatic or renal insufficiency

Freifeld et al. CID 2011;52:e56

33

### MASCC score: less is worse

| Characteristic   | Weight |
|--|--------|
| Burden of febrile neutropenia with no or mild symptoms <sup>a</sup>                  | 5      |
| No hypotension (systolic blood pressure >90 mmHg)                                    | 5      |
| No chronic obstructive pulmonary disease <sup>b</sup>                                | 4      |
| Solid tumor or hematologic malignancy with no previous fungal infection <sup>c</sup> | 4      |
| No dehydration requiring parenteral fluids   | 3      |
| Burden of febrile neutropenia with moderate symptoms <sup>a</sup>                    | 3      |
| Outpatient status  | 3      |
| Age <60 years  | 2      |

- 26 maximum score -> lowest risk
- <21 considered high risk





#### ENVIRONMENTAL PRECAUTIONS IN MANAGING FEBRILE NEUTROPENIC PATIENTS, IDSA 2011

- General
  - Hand hygiene
  - Standard barrier precautions and infection specific precautions
  - HSCT recipients should be housed in private rooms.
     Allogeneic HSCT recipients should be housed in rooms with
     >12 air exchanges/h and HEPA filtration
  - Plants and dried or fresh flowers should be prohibited
  - Hospital work exclusion policies should be designed to encourage HCP to report their illnesses or exposures



#### ENVIRONMENTAL PRECAUTIONS IN MANAGING FEBRILE NEUTROPENIC PATIENTS, IDSA 2011

- · Patient skin and oral care
  - Patients should take daily showers or baths
  - Skin should be inspected daily
  - Gentle but thorough perineal care after bowel movement
  - Avoid rectal thermometers, enemas, suppositories, and rectal exams
  - Menstruating females should avoid tampons
  - Patients with ongoing mucositis should perform oral rinses
     4-6 times per day with sterile water, normal saline, or
     sodium bicarbonate
  - Patients with brush their teeth <u>></u>2 times/day with a soft regular toothbrush
  - Avoid fixed orthodontic appliances and space maintainers



# **ENGINEERING CONTROLS**

#### Aspergillus prevention

- Filtered hospital air
- Barrier protection during renovation or construction
- Protective isolation (HEPA filtered) for hematopoietic stem cell transplants
- Provide respiratory protection when patients must leave PE
- Legionella prevention
  - Prohibit showers (use sponge baths)
  - Implement surveillance for *Legionella* cases
  - Monitor water supply: if *Legionella* present initiate decontamination (controversial)

41

# PROCEDURES DURING CONSTRUCTION & RENOVATION Seal hospital construction areas behind impervious barriers Clean construction area daily (i.e., remove dust with HEPA vacuum) Assure that ventilation system does not transport dust from inside construction area to other locations Move immunocompromised patients from adjacent areas Thoroughly clean construction area prior to patient use Conduct surveillance for airborne fungal infections Assess airborne fungal levels adjacent to construction Avoid transporting construction material through patient areas Assess compliance with infection control guidelines

# Overview

- Solid organ transplantation
- Stem cell transplantation
- Neutropenia
- Burns

43

# **Prevention of Infection in Burns**

- Topical agents
- Systemic antimicrobial prophylaxis
- Wound care
- Universal isolation precautions
- Frequency of line changes

# Nosocomial infection in burns

|                    | Univariate | e Analysis  |       | Multiple Analysis Model |             |       |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------|-------|
|                    | Odds Ratio | 95% CI      | P     | Odds Ratio              | 95% CI      | P     |
| Sex                |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Male               | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Female             | 1.02       | 0.69 - 1.49 | .94   |                         |             |       |
| Age                | 1.01       | 0.99-1.01   | .163  |                         |             |       |
| Underlying disease |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| No                 | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Yes                | 1.61       | 0.96-2.69   | .07   |                         |             |       |
| Injury             |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Scald              | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Flame              | 3.48       | 2.32-5.22   | <.001 |                         |             |       |
| Electrical         | 1.58       | 0.87 - 2.87 | .14   |                         |             |       |
| Contact            | 1.38       | 0.57-3.37   | .48   |                         |             |       |
| %TBSA              | 1.05       | 1.04 - 1.06 | <.001 | 1.05                    | 1.04 - 1.06 | <.001 |
| ABSI*              | 1.44       | 1.33-1.56   | <.001 |                         |             |       |
| Admission day      |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| ≤24 hr             | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| >24 hr             | 0.11       | 0.04-0.30   | <.001 |                         |             |       |
| Trauma             |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| No                 | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Yes                | 0.99       | 0.29-3.32   | .98   |                         |             |       |
| First excision day | 1.14       | 1.10 - 1.18 | <.001 | 1.13                    | 1.09-1.17   | <.001 |
| Transfusion        |            |             |       |                         |             |       |
| No                 | 1          |             |       |                         |             |       |
| Yes                | 5.01       | 3.29-7.63   | <.001 |                         |             |       |

45

# **Combat Burn Guidelines 2011**

 TABLE 2.
 Management of Burn Wounds Based on

| Wound   |  | Interventions   |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| First degre   | ee   | Symptomatic care  |  |   |  |  |
| Superficia<br>thicknes  | l partial<br>ss  | <ul> <li>Topical antibiotics with twice-daily dressing change, silver-impregnated dressing changed every 3–5 d, or Biobrane*</li> <li>Topical antibiotics with twice-daily dressing change, or silver-impregnated dressing changed every 3–5 d and excision and grafting</li> <li>Topical antibiotics with twice-daily dressing change and excision and grafting</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |
| Deep parti<br>thicknes  | ial<br>ss  |   |  |   |  |  |
| Full thick  | ness   |   |  |   |  |  |
| * Reco  | mmend re   | striction to individuals experienced w  | with its use.  |   |  |  |
|   |  |   |  |   |  |  |
| TABLE 3. Topical Ant  | imicrobial A   | gents <sup>41,58–63,65–67,71–73</sup>   |  |   |  |  |
| TABLE 3. Topical Ant<br>Agent   | imicrobial A   | gents <sup>41,58–63,65–67,71–73</sup><br>Application  | Penetration  | Side Effects  |  |  |
| TABLE 3. Topical Ant<br>Agent<br>Mafenide acetate cream   | Apply 1/16   | gents41,58-63,65-67,71-73 Application inch layer twice daily*   | Penetration<br>Penetrates eschar   | Side Effects<br>Painful on application<br>metabolic acidosis  |  |  |
| TABLE 3. Topical Ant       Agent       Mafenide acetate cream       Silver sulfadiazine cream   | Apply 1/16<br>Apply 1/16   | gents <sup>41,58–63,65–67,71–73</sup> Application inch layer twice daily* inch layer twice daily*   | Penetration<br>Penetrates eschar<br>Poor eschar penetration                            | Side Effects<br>Painful on application<br>metabolic acidosis<br>Transient leucopenia                          |  |  |
| TABLE 3.         Topical Anti-           Agent         Mafenide acetate cream           Silver sulfadiazine cream         Silver nitrate solution | Apply 1/16<br>Apply 1/16<br>Apply 1/16<br>Dress wour<br>solution | gents <sup>41,58-63,65-67,71-73</sup> Application inch layer twice daily* inch layer twice daily* ds with multiple layers of coarse gauze and apply to keep gauze continually moist   | Penetration<br>Penetrates eschar<br>Poor eschar penetration<br>Poor eschar penetration | Side Effects<br>Painful on application<br>metabolic acidosis<br>Transient leucopenia<br>Electrolyte disorders |  |  |

D'avignon et al. J Trauma 2011;S282



# Interventions to Decrease CLABSI Rate at UNC

TABLE 1. Interventions to Reduce Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections (CLABSIs) at University of North Carolina Hospitals, 2000–2009

| Year(s)   | Intervention(s)  |
|-----------|--|
| 2000      | Enhanced education of medical staff regarding central lines; addition of 2% chlorhexidine plus 70% isopropyl alcohol for skin preparation to central line kits             |
| 2001      | Mandatory training for nurses on IV line site care and maintenance   |
| 2003      | Central line changes over a guidewire every 3 days with use of a new site every 6 days becomes standard practices<br>use of full body drape for line insertion and changes |
| 2003-2005 | Introduction of antibiotic-impregnated central venous catheters for all patients   |
| 2004      | Enhanced nursing education on central line insertion and maintenance   |
| 2005      | Customized catheter-insertion kits   |
| 2006      | Universal glove and gown use for all patient encounter   |
| 2007      | Implementation of the Institute for Healthcare Improvement bundle to prevent CLABSI  |
| 2009      | Use of chlorhexidine patch at insertion site   |

Specific to burn ICU

van Duin et al. ICHE 2014;35:8;1066-68

