



LECTURE OBJECTIVES

- Review the CDC Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization: Focus on role of environmental surfaces
- Review "best" practices for environmental cleaning and disinfection
- Review the use of low-level disinfectants and the activity of disinfectants on key hospital pathogens
- Review medical waste management



Admission to Room Previously Occupied by Patient C/I with Epidemiologically Important Pathogen



- Results in the newly admitted patient having an increased risk of acquiring that pathogen by 39-353%
- For example, increased risk for *C. difficile* is 235% (11.0% vs 4.6%)
 Shaughnessy MK ICHE 2011
- Exposure to contaminated rooms confers a 5-6 fold increase in odds of infection, hospitals must adopt proven methods for reducing environmental contamination (Cohen et al. ICHE. 2018;39:541-546)

Acquisition of EIP on Hands of Healthcare Providers after Contact with Contaminated Environmental Sites and Transfer to Other Patients









- EH Spaulding believed that how an object will be disinfected depended on the object's intended use
 - CRITICAL objects which enter normally sterile tissue or the vascular system or through which blood flows should be sterile
 - SEMICRITICAL objects that touch mucous membranes or skin that is not intact require a disinfection process (high-level disinfection[HLD]) that kills all microorganisms; however, small numbers of bacterial spores are permissible.
 - NONCRITICAL -objects that touch only intact skin require lowlevel disinfection























MICROBIAL BURDEN ON ROOM SURFACES AS A FUNCTION OF FREQUENCY OF TOUCHING

Huslage K, Rutala WA, Weber DJ. ICHE. 2013;34:211-212

Surface	Prior to Cleaning	Post Cleaning (mean)
	Mean CFU/RODAC (95% CI)	Mean CFU/RODAC (95% CI)
High	71.9 (46.5-97.3)	9.6
Medium	44.2 (28.1-60.2)	9.3
Low	56.7 (34.2-79.2)	5.7

• The level of microbial contamination of room surfaces is similar regardless of how often they are touched both before and after cleaning

• Therefore, all surfaces that are touched must be cleaned and disinfected



Wipes

Cotton, Disposable, Microfiber, Cellulose-Based, Nonwoven Spunlace





Rutala, Gergen, Weber. Unpublished data.				
Technique (with cotton)	MRSA Log ₁₀ Reduction (QUAT)			
Saturated cloth	4.41			
Spray (10s) and wipe	4.41			
Spray, wipe, spray (1m), wipe	4.41			
Spray	4.41			
Spray, wipe, spray (until dry)	4.41			
Disposable wipe with QUAT	4.55			
Control: detergent	2.88			

Evaluation of Hospital Floors as a Potential Source of Pathogen Dissemination

Koganti et al. ICHE 2016. 37:1374; Deshpande et al. AJIC 2017. 45:336.

- Effective disinfection of contaminated surfaces is essential to prevent transmission of epidemiologically-important pathogens
- Efforts to improve disinfection focuses on touched surfaces
- Although floors contaminated, limited attention because not frequently touched
- Floors are a potential source of transmission because often contacted by objects that are then touched by hands (e.g., shoes, socks)
- Non-slip socks contaminated with MRSA, VRE (Mahida, J Hosp Infect. 2016;94:273



Recovery of Nonpathogenic Viruses from Surfaces and Patients on Days 1, 2, and 3 After Inoculation of Floor Near Bed Koganti et al. ICHE 2016. 37:1374						
	Venichia	Day 4 (W. Darithya)	Day 2 (V. Daritha)	Dev 2 (M. Persitive)		
	Patient Hands	40	63	43		
	Patient Footwear	100	100	86		
	High-touch surface <3ft	58	62	77		
	High-touch surface >3ft	40	68	34		
	Personal items	50	44	50		
	Adjacent room floor	NA	100	80		
	Adjacent room environment	NA	40	11		
	Nursing station	53	47	63		
	Portable equipment	33	23	100		
Surfa	ces <3ft included bedrail, cal	I button, telephone, tray t	able, etc; surfaces >3ft in	cluded side table, chair, IV		

Surfaces <3ft included bedrail, call button, telephone, tray table, etc; surfaces >3ft included side table, chair, IV pole, etc; personal-cell phones, books, clothing, wheelchairs; nurses station included computer keyboard, mouse, etc

Recovery of Nonpathogenic Viruses from Surfaces and Patients on Days 1, 2, and 3 After Inoculation of Floor Near Bed

Koganti et al. ICHE 2016. 37:1374

- Found that a nonpathogenic virus inoculated onto floors in hospital rooms disseminated rapidly to the footwear and hands of patients and to high-touch surfaces in the room
- The virus was also frequently found on high-touch surfaces in adjacent rooms and nursing stations
- Contamination in adjacent rooms in the nursing station suggest HCP contributed to dissemination after acquiring the virus during contact with surfaces or patients
- Studies needed to determine if floors are source of transmission













Product and Practice = Perfection

35

LOW-LEVEL DISINFECTION FOR NONCRITICAL EQUIPMENT AND SURFACES

Rutala, Weber. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:855-865; Rutala, Weber. AJIC 2019;47:A3-A9

Ethyl or isopropyl alcohol	70-90%
Chlorine	100ppm (1:500 dilution)
Phenolic	Ú ÚD Í
lodophor	UD
Quaternary ammonium (QUAT)	UD
QUAT with alcohol	RTU
Improved hydrogen peroxide (HP) 0.5%, 1.4%
PA with HP, 4% HP, chlorine (C. d	difficile) UD
UD=Manufacturer's recommended use dilution	on; others in development/testing-electrolyzed water;

PROPERTIES OF AN IDEAL DISINFECTANT

Rutala, Weber. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35:855-865

- Broad spectrum-wide antimicrobial spectrum
- Fast acting-should produce a rapid kill
- Remains Wet-meet listed kill/contact times with a single application
- Not affected by environmental factors-active in the presence of organic matter
- Nontoxic-not irritating to user
- Surface compatibility-should not corrode instruments and metallic surfaces
- Persistence-should have sustained antimicrobial activity
- Easy to use
- Acceptable odor
- Economical-cost should not be prohibitively high
- Soluble (in water) and stable (in concentrate and use dilution)
- Cleaner (good cleaning properties) and nonflammable















A Targeted Strategy for *C. difficile* Orenstein et al. 2011. ICHE;32:1137

Daily cleaning with bleach wipes on high incidence wards reduced CDI 85% (24.2 to 3.6 cases/10,000 patient days) and prolonged median time between HA CDI from 8 to 80 days





INACTIVATION OF MURINE AND HUMAN NOROVIRUES

Disinfectant, 1 min	MNV Log ₁₀ Reduction	HNV Log ₁₀ Reduction
70% Ethanol	>4 (3.3 at 15sec)	2
70% Isopropyl alcohol	4.2	2.2
65% Ethanol + QUAT	>2	3.6
79% Ethanol + QUAT	3.4	3.6
Chlorine (5,000ppm)	4	3
Chlorine (24,000ppm)	2.4	4.3
Phenolic, QUAT, Ag, 3% H ₂ 0 ₂	<u><</u> 1	<u><</u> 1 (2.1 QUAT)
0.5% Accel H ₂ 0 ₂	3.9	2.8































MICROBIAL BURDEN ON ROOM SURFACES AS A FUNCTION OF FREQUENCY OF TOUCHING

Surface	Prior to Cleaning	Post Cleaning (mean)
	Mean CFU/RODAC (95% CI)	Mean CFU/RODAC (95% CI)
High	71.9 (46.5-97.3)	9.6
Medium	44.2 (28.1-60.2)	9.3
Low	56.7 (34.2-79.2)	5.7

• The level of microbial contamination of room surfaces is similar regardless of how often they are touched both before and after cleaning

• Therefore, all surfaces that are touched must be cleaned and disinfected

Huslage K, Rutala WA, Weber DJ. ICHE. 2013;34:211-212









Enhanced Disinfection Leading to Reduction of Microbial Contamination and a Decrease in Patient Col/Infection

Anderson et al. Lancet 2017;289:805; Rutala et al. ICHE In press.

	Standard Method		Enhanced meth	nod
	Quat	Quat/UV	Bleach	Bleach/UV
EIP (mean CFU per room) ^a	60.8	3.4	11.7	6.3
Reduction (%)		94	81	90
Colonization/Infection (rate) ^a	2.3	1.5	1.9	2.2
Reduction (%)		35	17	4

All enhanced disinfection technologies were significantly superior to Quat alone in reducing EIPs. Comparing the best strategy with the worst strategy (i.e., Quat vs Quat/UV) revealed that a reduction of 94% in EIP (60.8 vs 3.4) led to a 35% decrease in colonization/infection (2.3% vs 1.5%). Our data demonstrated that a decrease in room contamination was associated with a decrease in patient colonization/infection. First study which quantitatively described the entire pathway whereby improved disinfection decreases microbial contamination which in-turn reduced patient colonization/infection.











Definitions

Hospital waste (solid waste) refers to all waste (biological or nonbiological) which are discarded and not intended for further use (e.g., administrative waste, dietary waste)
Medical waste refers to materials generated as a result of patient diagnosis, treatment, or immunization (e.g., soiled dressing, intravenous tubing)
Regulated medical waste ("infectious" waste) refers to that

portion of medical waste which could transmit an infectious disease (e.g., microbiological waste, sharps)



Factors Necessary for Induction of Disease

- Dose
- Resistance of host
- Portal of entry
- Presence of a pathogen
- Virulence

75









The CDC, EPA, and states define medical waste as regulated ("infectious")

When it is suspected to contain potentially hazardous levels of microorganisms



Types of Solid Waste Designated as Infectious and Recommended Disposal Methods

Rutala, Mayhall. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1992;13:38-48

	Infectious	Disposal
Source/Type	Waste	Method
Microbiological	Yes	S,I
Blood and blood products	Yes	S,I, Sew
Pathological	Yes	Yes
Sharps (especially needles)	Yes	S,I
Contaminated animal carcasses (carcasses)	Yes	S,I
Isolation	No	
Other (surgical waste, dialysis,		
contaminated lab waste)	No	
Abbreviations: S-steam; I-incineration; Se	ew-sanitary sewer.	



Regulated Waste: OSHA

- Contaminated sharps
- Pathological and microbiological wastes containing blood or OPIM
- Liquid or semi-liquid blood or OPIM
- Contaminated items that would release blood or OPIM in a liquid or semi-liquid state if compressed
- Items caked with dried blood or OPIM that are capable of releasing these materials during handling





95% segregate regulated medical wastefrom non-regulated medical waste96% use labeled or color-coded bags











Treatment of Medical Waste by US Hospitals Rutala, Odette, Samsa. JAMA. 1989. 262:1635-1640

	intectio	us (70)		neatm	enuDisp	JUSAI ME	unous (
Waste Category	Yes	No	- I	SL	S	Sew	Other
Microbiological	99	1	70	11	38	2	1
Human blood	94	6	64	11	21	26	1
Pathological	96	4	93	3	6	2	1
Isolation	94	6	79	17	9	2	0
Sharps	99	1	82	15	13	0	1

Incineration				
Ash Residue: Air Emissions:	Controlled-air incinerators produce a sterile ash No difference between bacteria in stack emissions and ambient air. Chemicals (CO, metals, acid gases, dioxins, furans) emitted from hospital or municipal incinerators			











- Definition "sharps" means and includes needles, syringes with attached needles, capillary tubes, slides, cover slips and scalpel blades.
- Requirement sharps will be placed in a container which is rigid, leakproof when in an upright position and puncture-resistant. Contained sharps shall not be compacted prior to off-site transportation.
- Treatment none required. The package may be disposed with general solid waste.



















BEST PRACTICES FOR SURFACE DISINFECTION AND MEDICAL WASTE

Summary

- The contaminated surface environment in hospital rooms is important in the transmission of healthcare-associated pathogens (MRSA, VRE, *C. difficile*, *Acinetobacter*).
- Disinfection of noncritical environmental surfaces/equipment is an essential component of Infection prevention
- Disinfection should render surfaces and equipment free of pathogens in sufficient numbers to cause human disease. Follow CDC D/S guideline.
- When determining the optimal disinfecting product, consider the 5 components (kill claims/time, safety, ease of use, others)
- Comply with federal (OSHA) and state medical waste regulations

